NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION

THE GENERAL COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting held at the NRA Pavilion
on Friday 14\textsuperscript{th} June 2019 from 3.00pm

Present:

Chairman
Mr JG Webster

Vice Chairman
Dr JD Warburton

Members:
Mr GK Alexander
Mr GV Barnard
Mr HRM Bailie
Mr JPS Bloomfield
Mr N Brasier
Mr CM Brooks
Dr AMW Cargill Thompson
Mr PAE Charlton
Mr PR Coley
Mr AJCB de Launay
Mr SCW Dixon
Mr CES Dickenson
Mr HF Dunton
Mr DGF Evans
Mr J Harris
Mr RS Kenchington
Mr JM Kynoch
Mr GAE Larcombe
Miss SS Lohmann
Mr JF Miller
Mr A Reynolds - Treasurer
Mr R Roberts
Mr AJD Saunders
Mr I Shirra-Gibb
Mr NF Thomas
Mr IR Thomson
Mr MK Townsend
Mr S Wallis
Dr AP Wolpe

In attendance:
Mr A Mercer - Chief Executive
Mrs G Thatcher - Secretary to Meeting
Mr D Lacey - Trustee

Apologies for absence: Cdr N Benstead, Mr T Bobbet, Col WGC Bowles, Maj R Bruce, Wg Cdr DP Calvert, Mr M Charlton, Mr M Cotillard, Mr L Christy, Mr DC Crispin, Maj. Gen IC Dale, Dr N Fyfe, Mr E George, Mr J Harper-Smith, Mr K Hocking, Wg Cdr CJ Hockley, Mr A Hunter, Mrs G Jarvis, Mr D Kent, Mr T Lehman, Mr M Maksimovic, Mr MF Martel, Mr N McFarlane, Mr W Mott, Brig. WJ O’Leary, Mr B Ritchie, Mrs K Robertson, Mr P Ryder, Mr R Sayer, Mr C Steele-Benny, Mr D Stimpson, Mr G Trembath, Mr PDC Turner, Mr MP Watkins, Mr MJ Wood.
INDEX G562 to G572

G562 – APOLOGIES

Apologies were received as noted above.

G563 – DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Chairman requested that all members declare any conflict of interest at any relevant point of the meeting.

G564 – MINUTES OF GENERAL COUNCIL MEETING – 23RD FEBRUARY 2019

The Minutes of the General Council meeting of 23rd February 2019 had been, circulated, approved and published.

It was agreed to record a correction to the published minutes of 23rd February regarding the point G550. It was incorrectly recorded that Simon Dixon was a member of ABCAT. Simon Dixon’s club, The North London Rifle Club, is a member of ABCAT and as an officer of that club, he attends ABCAT meetings.

G565 – REVIEW OF ACTIONS

G481 – AM confirmed we are still awaiting an update on mixed motive investment from the Charity Commission. Action ongoing.

G493 – JPSB has produced a synopsis of the Rule 150 presentation which has been requested by three members of General Council to date. Action closed.

G498 – The review of muzzle brakes is ongoing and will be deferred to the Shooting Committee to report back to General Council once testing completed. Item closed.

G512 – The Commonwealth Games Committee are due to announce the sports to be included in the Birmingham Games 2022 on or around the 20th June 2019. The GC members will be updated as soon as a response is received. Item closed.

G536a – AM informed the meeting that no applications had been received from General Council members for the Estates Working Committee following the request at the February meeting for applications. A good response of applications from the general membership had been received. A further request for GC members to put themselves forward was made to the meeting. PCh asked whether as a co-opted member of General Council sitting on the Disciplinary Committee would he be eligible to put himself forward. AM will look into this and revert back to PCh with a response. Item ongoing.

G536b – GT will email the GC members who have not yet responded with their Register of Property Interests document requesting they be returned as soon as possible. Action ongoing.

G540 – AM had tabled a CAPEX report at the previous meeting showing the different areas of investment. Action closed.
G566 – MATTERS ARISING FROM MINUTES OF 23RD FEBRUARY 2019

Richard Kenchington (RK) asked for an update on Site 103 as his understanding is the NRA does not own the freehold and has a 125 year lease on it. If that is the case then the land must have been leased and not sold.

The Chairman confirmed that the freehold land on which Site 103 sits was sold to the NSRA.

RK asked if there was not an agreement reached when the sale took place that the NSRA would maintain the land in an acceptable form.

AM responded that there was only one covenant attached to the freehold which was an option that the NRA would be offered first any potential sale of the land.

RK is not satisfied with the NRA’s lack of action as he believes it is a complete disgrace. The Chairman responded that it is difficult situation and the NRA need to be careful when proceeding with any “uplift” claim as it could affect the future of the NSRA as an organisation.

John Kynoch (JK) remembers that when the decision was made to sell the plot to the NSRA, there was a clause included which stated that if they didn’t develop the site within 20 years, it would revert back to the NRA. AM believes that has since expired but will get clarity on it. G566a – AM to check the sale papers of the land to the NSRA and update the meeting in September.

Alex Cargill Thompson (ACT) questioned that if the asbestos is not well sealed, is it presenting an increased health & safety risk. The Chairman believes that generally asbestos is fine unless disturbed. ACT remarked that the building is not in a good state and therefore would it be a risk and should that be considered?

RK asked whether the NRA could buy the land back from the NSRA and then be used as a caravan site to realise income for the Association. The Chairman responded that the building and land has a lien on it, and therefore the NSRA’s debt would not be reduced in such a purchase, but the NRA would end up with a building which would cost a considerable amount of money to remove, as well as the cost to develop the site.

Charles Brooks (CB) asked whether the NRA could speak to the lien holder. AM believes that could have consequences with the NSRA if we were to make that approach.

AM remarked that the NRA are making firm progress to confirm the quantity of uplift claim against the NSRA and will be lodging that fairly soon. That may well yield a greater willingness by the NSRA to talk about Site 103.

Silke Lohmann (SL) asked whether the NRA will be placing banners on the fencing to hide the site on a short term basis for the Imperial Meeting.

AM responded that we have been unable to speak to anyone from the NSRA so therefore it will not be possible to do anything in time.

John Bloomfield (JSPB) wanted to follow up on the matter raised at the previous meeting regarding conflicts of interest. JSPB believes there are a number of serious conflicts of interest present, the first one being in terms of one of the clubs which undertook litigation against the NRA last year which JSPB estimates cost the NRA in the region of £50k in legal fees, and the same club is now threatening litigation against the NRA over electricity prices and the figure being thrown around by the club shows they believe they may recover c.£30k, and the third part of that is the involvement of the club and its committee with ABCAT, of which it is a member. It was ABCAT who reported the NRA to the Charity Commission which has caused an ongoing investigation and a conservative estimate of overall costs is c£100k. Therefore the representatives of one club which by themselves and as members of ABCAT has cost the Association somewhere in the region of £200k. JSPB would question whether it is right and proper for representatives to sit on General Council and asks the members to consider whether they believe it should be happening.
The Chairman remarked that General Council is constituted to advise the Trustees, it has no other decision making policy, so where does the conflict lie. JSPB believes that this body is an integral part of the governance and management of the Association through the Second Schedule of the Charter, and this body has a very considerable say in the appointment of the Board of Trustees. JSPB doesn’t believe we can make a decision on this now, but it should be considered.

Steve Wallis (SW) asked whether JSPB was just talking about Committee members of clubs, or whether it was being widened to include any members of the club.

JSPB responded that it would be committee members of the club who have litigated against the Association.

SW asked whether the club is therefore representing their members’ interests and therefore any member of the club should be excluded from General Council.

JSPB doesn’t believe that to be the case because the management of the club is left entirely to the committee and members have no say. JSPB believes it should be thought about.

The Chairman thanked JSPB for making the point and the topic will be thought about, but is unsure how this will be dealt with.

JSPB then raised an issue which arose at the last meeting regarding the election of team captains. The issue is the interference into the process of General Council by the TR Sub Committee. According to the Second Schedule, the TR Sub-Committee is not properly constituted and if that is the case, they have no say in anything to do with team captain elections at the present time. That is based on Rule 7c (vi) which states “Committees shall have power to form sub-committees of their members” and that has not happened and it goes on to say “any such sub-committee shall include members of the committee of which it is a sub-committee to the extent of a minimum of the lesser of one half of its membership, or three committee members” and there are only two committee members on the TR sub-committee so it is in default.

JSPB added that the rules state that the TR sub-committee assist the General Council in their deliberations and assist is bold and underlined and actually means in practice that the sub-committee does what the GC vote tells it to do, it does not have the option to overturn it.

JSPB informed the meeting that he had sent out the incorrect rules regarding the electorate within General Council for TR disciplines in ballots and the TR discipline rep had received papers that stated it was not necessarily their primary discipline which was incorrect. JSPB will circulate the correct papers and apologised.

JH commented that very few, perhaps only TR and MR, would be able to form sub-committees that complied with clause 7ii as if the requirement is for three GC members to sit on it there would be insufficient members of GC with adequate knowledge about some of the disciplines.

The Chairman remarked that it is up to the Shooting Committee to regularise its sub-committees and requested that be done. James Harris (JH) acknowledged the request.

John Warburton (JWar) asked whether there were any outstanding decisions pending. JSPB confirmed there are no decisions pending.

Charles Dickenson (CD) apologised to the meeting for the oversight on the number of members required for the sub-committee. CD commented that as far as he has been given the incorrect version of the procedure, requested the correct issue be circulated. CD went on to say that the rules state that the vote of General Council shall not be binding on the TR sub-committee, which emphasises the fact that the underlined and bold statement that it is advisory, does not necessarily follow JSPB’s interpretation.
JSPB responded that having written the paper, he believes it does. The paper was written when discussions were being held as to whether General Council would have a vote on team captains, and the position was supported that they would which produced the procedural paper. The principal reason it is so complex was to keep the Chairman at the time from being able to meddle in procedures. JSPB believes the procedures should be revisited and developed if necessary.

The Chairman asked that the Shooting Committee regularise the procedures and committees.

No further matters were raised.

G567 – COUNCIL

The minutes of the meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2019 were presented to the meeting.

A verbal report of the meetings held on 13<sup>th</sup> April and 14<sup>th</sup> June 2019 was given to the meeting.

The Chairman reported that the two recent meetings have been dominated by Charity Commission issues. The Trustees met with the Charity Commission on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2019. This was due to a number of complaints made to the Commission that the NRA had been charging too much rent.

The Chairman informed the meeting that the Charity Commission have endorsed the fact that the NRA Trustees were properly charging market or full rents and they would have taken a dim view if the NRA had been doing anything different. Therefore the Trustees position has been completely vindicated on that.

However, an additional number of issues were raised by the Charity Commission following a review and a letter and action plan have been sent to the Trustees who are working up a response to the actions which will be shared with General Council.

The response from the Charity Commission is a very useful response, but one of the things the NRA is going to have to do, is to have a more demonstrable difference between the activities of the charity (NRA) and the activities of the non-charitable part (NSC). That is going to be a fairly costly exercise.

However, the Chairman believes we are in the position to be able to undertake the separation of activities, particularly with the target clays operation coming on board, such that we will be able to have a proper delineation which can be demonstrated to the Charity Commission.

The Chairman clarified that the Charity Commission’s view has provided a timely opportunity for the NRA to consider a much clearer separation of activities. David Lacey (DLa) has spent a lot of time with the Association’s lawyers ensuring the NRA’s responses to the Charity Commission are measured and appropriate. He thanked David Lacey for all the work he has done to date to get the NRA to the current position.

DLa added that this process has highlighted the issue of whether civilian rifle shooting, unconnected with the military or police, is a charitable activity. The Charity Commission takes the approach that such shooting is not charitable since Defence of the Realm as a charitable activity is archaic. Whatever the views on the state of the law within the Association, we need to be pragmatic and work to maintain an allocation of activities between the Association and the National Shooting Centre Limited that will be acceptable to the Charity Commission. Thus the practical effect of the complaint about levels of rent is to open up a deeper review of the Association’s charitable status and activities. We hope to be able to work through this to enable the Association to continue as it has been, but in a way that will satisfy the Charity Commission.

The Chairman informed the meeting that the Trustees have extended the August meeting to two days to be able to cover all the other business which has not been able to be discussed due to this important issue.

CB asked what the timeline was for responding to the Commission.
The Chairman confirmed that the timeline for all action points is 6 months, with some of those being immediately, within one month, four months etc. There will be a lot of work completed towards the end of this year. It will result in a change of documents to separate NSC from NRA, and into separate entities. It will be carefully considered to ensure a smooth transition and a robust position to take the Association forward.

AM added that this is not risk free and is still by no means certain. There are some key issues such as ensuring that membership and competitions remains within the NRA. This would allow the Association to continue to claim gift aid on the subscriptions, business rate reliefs for the clubs, and keep shooting activities non-VATable. A lot of work needs to be done to ensure we get things right.

PCh understands that if the Charity Commission decides that target shooting is no longer a sport, that is quite a challenge. But the tools that we use used to be warfare items and are now sporting items, have they considered the impact on such things as archery or javelin? What other sports are suffering the same situation?

DLa responded that when the new Charity Act came in, the commission gave a lot of thought to what constituted a sport for charitable purposes; it is something that develops the mind and body and getting the heart rate up. They consider rifle shooting therefore to not be a sport. One could question that as a CivSR shooter, but that is not the approach the Charity Commission has taken. We therefore have to find other grounds on which to base it as charitable, and contributing to defence of the realm has been accepted by the commission.

John Saunders (JS) added that the Olympic Committee consider rifle and pistol shooting as a sport. DLa can see both sides of the argument.

Simon Dixon (SD) remarked that it was a club in Cambridge which put the original defence forward about being a charity that was poorly constructed and affected any future arguments. DLa confirmed Cambridgeshire Target Shooting Association had tried to argue they were a charity which wasn’t accepted by the Commission, which certainly hasn’t helped. We have to work with what we have and target shooting as a sport is not a charitable activity.

JPSB remarked that the NRA spent c.£120k on defence of the charitable status back in the 1990’s so it would be very foolish to try and fight the current view of the Charity Commission again. JSPB also wanted to highlight the difficulties the Association got into previously when there were separate NSC and NRA boards, which is why a decision was made in 2011 to restructure the operation to remove the conflict, and the finances and management of the Association have improved dramatically since that decision was taken.

The Chairman confirmed the Trustees were very cognisant of the previous problems with the separate parts to the Association. There is a design being worked on which should mitigate that risk. The Trustees are wiser having been down that route already and we are optimistic that we can manage it better.

The Chairman added that the relationship we now have with the Charity Commission is much better and there is a good dialogue between the two organisations. We are being well advised by Julian Smith of Farrers. There is no reason to be despondent about the way forward. The things the Commission have asked us to implement are doable, not without cost, but will allow the Association to move forward.

Nick Brasier (NB) added that the Charity Commission appear to take the view that military personnel doing civilian target shooting is a charitable activity and mixed shooting of civilians and military personnel is also a charitable activity as it leads towards the defence of the realm, and these activities should be encouraged. Schools and cadets from an education point of view was also considered charitable, as well as ATCs and retired members of the armed forces.

PCh asked whether a request could be made on competition entry forms to ask if they are or have ever been members or volunteers of the armed forces. This will be considered with a view to any GDPR issues.
SL asked whether there was any structure in mind for separating out the NSC and NRA. The Chairman confirmed there was a plan in place but the details need to be thought about and worked through. DLa will be spending a lot of time drawing up a way forward for discussion at the next Trustee meeting, and this will thereafter be presented to advisors for their input.

DLa added that the experience of being at Bisley will not change for members. AM added that the visibility of the NRA will decline and the visibility of the NSC will increase.

JWar asked that the Chairman advise the GC members on the confidentiality of what has been discussed as there has been a lot of sensitive information shared.

The Chairman remarked that with regards to sharing information with members, they can be informed that the Trustees met with the Charity Commission in March and correspondence has been received since. A clean bill of health was received on the original complaint of rent reviews, and the NRA are drawing up plans to deal with the items on the action plan. It is planned to include a synopsis of this in any formal remarks made to the Bisley General Meeting.

PCh suggested that when the draft minutes are circulated they include what may be redacted from the published version.

RK asked whether the copy deadlines for the NRA Journal could be included on the front page of each copy, as previously published, to assist members with when articles need to be received for inclusion. The Chairman agreed.

Harald Dunton (HD) wanted it noted that the 7th December 2018 minutes which reflect that range bookings for clubs should be shared on military ranges to reduce costs is not possible as DIO form 5665 does not allow this. This was noted.

G568 – OPERATIONAL UPDATE

The Chief Executive presented his report to the General Council members.

AM reported that the Imperial Meeting started today with the NRA Service Rifle Championship with 90 service personnel competing, including those from Serbia, Canada, America and Germany.

Imperial entries have risen to 979, which are well ahead of 2018 figures.

We are expecting a decision from the Commonwealth Games regarding the inclusion of shooting at Birmingham Games in 2022 around the 19th or 20th June.

Plans are ongoing for the NRA to take over the Council Club, Cottesloe Heath and Long Siberia ranges at the end of June following the termination of Bisley Shooting Ground’s lease and licence.

PC asked how many people have entered the service rifle event. AM believes it has 86 entrants.

CB asked for clarification on the noise complaint paragraph. CB believes the previous tenant of the Surrey Rifle Association made the report to the local authority, and is that now closed.

AM confirmed that the complaint has now morphed from the original complaint to a wider concern being expressed by the Environmental Health Officer about any residential occupancy of adjoining properties and whether there is a public nuisance from the noise being generated. That is currently being worked through.

Iain Thomson (IT) asked for an update on the three year Strategic Plan which was promised at the last meeting.

AM apologised for having not updated that and will do so for the next meeting. G568a – AM to provide an update on the Three Year Strategic Plan at the September meeting.
PCh asked if there were any foreseen issues coming to light in the lead up to the handover period with Bisley Shooting Ground. AM responded that the outgoing tenant and licensee have put up a spirited opposition to the NRA’s plans, but that the NRA is prepared to defend any claims.

IT asked whether all properties on the camp now have a signed lease, apart from any new leases being worked on now. AM responded that there are a couple which are still in negotiation and one where the new tenants are in occupation but the lease is still being worked through. AM confirmed there are 108 properties under tenancy, excluding huts and caravans.

SL asked if there were any more legal issues arising on properties on camp. AM confirmed there were always lawyers involved in property transactions, however there were no other outstanding litigation on property matters.

No further questions were raised.

G569 – SHOOTING COMMITTEE

The minutes of the 21st February 2019 were presented to General Council. No questions were raised.

JH gave a verbal report of the meeting held on 9th May 2019.

The majority of the meeting was discussing the GGG ammunition investigation. There is an issue with certain actions, specifically extractor failures and the mode of failure in certain actions is problematical.

To ensure that no competitors are disadvantaged, it is proposed that a rule amendment be issued to alleviate extractor failure problems. That will be posted up by the meeting.

Other matters discussed included discipline days, Gehmann lenses which is being investigated and the results will be posted as soon as possible before the meeting.

A request was made for bible amendments to be submitted by mid-August for inclusion in the 2020 bible.

JSPB reported that the GGG ammunition investigation is ongoing and some progress is being made. JSPB wanted to inform the meeting that he believes it unlikely that a conclusion will be reached before the meeting as to why the problems are occurring. It is more important to find a solution to the problems people are having, and the major part of that is to try and develop any modifications needed, particularly to the Barnard extraction system which is causing the biggest difficulty, and to address the issues that might arise during the Meeting of what happens when extractors are broken, both for individual and team matches where rules differ.

All this is being worked on and protocols will be published at the beginning of the meeting, but the intention is to ensure we do as much as possible to ensure all shooters who may be unable to finish a shoot are given the opportunity to do so either later in the day or the following day. That will be written into the rules.

Martin Townsend (MKT) asked if we will be using the ammunition this weekend (Intercounties).

JSPB confirmed it is being used this weekend and hopefully that will provide more feedback.

IT asked whether the 2018 cases were also within the STANAG specifications, as the 2019 ammunition is.

JSPB confirmed all the brass for both years’ ammunition fall within the STANAG specifications.

No further questions were raised.
**G570 – MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE**

The minutes of the meeting held 1st June 2019 were deferred to September’s meeting.

Silke Lohmann (SL) added that the committee discussed having a working meeting during the Imperial to discuss a plan to engage more with young shooters, and disabled shooters. SL would appreciate any ideas or input from any of the GC members and anyone should send an email to include in discussions.

GA wanted to mention that GC members should put themselves forward for the various committees, including the Estates Committee, TR Sub-Committee and Membership Committee to help support the GC committees and allow them to function well.

JH raised the point that the Second Schedule may have to be reviewed given the number of committees and their requirements in terms of functioning correctly to ensure they can be fulfilled.

RK asked whether the various login ID’s required for entering the Imperial, or booking ranges, or booking accommodation can all be amalgamated under one username and password. AM confirmed this would be looked into.

No further questions were raised.

**G571 – ELECTION TO GENERAL COUNCIL**

For information under Second Schedule Rule 7 (g) the following members have been proposed and seconded for the vacancies.

**Ordinary Members**
SCW Dixon  
D Lowe  
M Maksimovic  
RM Roberts  
Mrs KD Robertson  
I Thomson

For information under Second Schedule Rule 7 (g) the following members have been elected unopposed for the vacancies.

**Regional Members**
G Trembath - Northern  
Dr JD Warburton – Yorkshire & Humberside  
HF Dunton - Eastern

**Shooting Discipline Members**
CES Dickenson – Target Rifle  
Dr AP Wolpe – Muzzle Loading

For information under Second Schedule Rule 7 (g) the following members have been proposed and seconded for the vacancies.

**Shooting Discipline Members – F-Class**
D Kent  
J Longhurst

AM requested GC members to come forward with any possible members to be scrutineers to assist with the elections.
ACT asked whether holding the Annual General Meeting on the Saturday of the Phoenix Meeting this year had proved successful.

The Chairman stated there were 55 members in attendance which was around 10 less than the previous year. It will be reviewed for 2020.

IT asked on behalf of CD when the Head Target Rifle Instructor post being advertised and appointment.

AM responded that it will be advertised in the 3rd/4th quarter of this year.

ACT asked whether an amendment could be proposed the next time any changes are suggested to the Second Schedule, to assist with increasing the number of General Council members who can sit on the Membership Committee, rather than so many Ordinary members.

AM believes that is a point well made, but mentioned that any suggested changes to the Second Schedule are extremely difficult to push through.

CB asked whether the updated Second Schedule could be published on the NRA website to include the amendment for Electronic Communication. AM agreed this would be done.

CB then asked whether anything had been put in place with regards to the succession of the Chairman.

The Chairman responded that his term of office expires on 1st March 2020. Following the recent correspondence from the Charity Commission, the Trustees will be looking at the skill set of the Trustees and looking at what skills are needed to sit on that body. The Trustees will be asking General Council members to provide information through a skills audit to be able to gauge the types of skills available, which will allow General Council and the Trustees to look at any potential skills which may be missing from the Board and assist with the process of seeking new Trustees and a new Chairman. Further discussion will be held at the August Trustee meeting and then ideas shared with General Council in September.

The Chairman does believe the role which he took on back in 2014 is very different to the role of his successor, not least because there is a good managerial team in position, but also there will be a distinct separation between the NRA as a charity, and the NSC as a trading subsidiary.

IT mentioned that there are no ex-Armed Forces members on the board and that would be useful.

RB asked that a proper sink be installed in the Site 1 ablution block next to Greshams to facilitate clothes washing as was previously available. A decent hairdryer has also been requested. AM stated this would be looked into.

JWar announced this to be his last meeting as Vice Chairman, this being the end of his elected term. He will remain as the Regional Representative for Yorkshire & Humberside and will therefore continue to attend General Council meetings in that capacity. The Chairman gave his personal thanks to JWar for all his input over the last 6 years. The meeting gave JWar a round of applause.

No further questions were raised.

The meeting closed at 17.15pm

Chairman

DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

Friday 6th September 2019 2.00pm NRA Pavilion
### REVIEW OF ACTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Point &amp; Date</th>
<th>Ownerships</th>
<th>Action Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G481 – 8th September 2017</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>AM confirmed we are still awaiting an update on mixed motive investment from the Charity Commission. <strong>Action ongoing.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G493 – 8th September 2017</td>
<td>JPSB</td>
<td>JSB has produced a synopsis of the Rule 150 presentation which has been requested by three members of General Council to date. <strong>Action closed.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G498 – 8th September 2017</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>The review of muzzle brakes is ongoing and will be deferred to the Shooting Committee to report back to General Council once testing completed. <strong>Item closed.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G512 – 17th February 2018</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>The Commonwealth Games Committee are due to announce the sports to be included in the Birmingham Games 2022 on or around the 20th June 2019. The GC members will be updated as soon as a response is received. <strong>Item closed.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G536 – 7th September 2018</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>A further request for GC members to put themselves forward for the Estates Working Group was made to the meeting as no applications had been received to date. <strong>Item ongoing.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G536 – 7th September 2018</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>GT will email the GC members who have not yet responded with their Register of Property Interests document requesting they be returned as soon as possible. <strong>Action ongoing.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G540 – 7th September 2018</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>AM had tabled a CAPEX report at the previous meeting showing the different areas of investment. <strong>Action closed.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated 14th June 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G566a – 14th June 2019</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>AM to check the clauses within the sale papers of the land to the NSRA and update the meeting in September as to whether any option to revert back to the NRA is valid. <strong>Action ongoing.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G568a – 14th June 2019</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>AM to provide an update on the Three Year Strategic Plan at the September meeting. <strong>Action ongoing</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>